HECEIVED CENTRÂLFAX CÊNTER

SEP 0 6 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Heinrich Lang

Serial No.: 10/767,669

Filed: 01/29/2004

For: REARVIEW MIRROR ASSEMBLY

FOR MOTOR VEHICLES (as amended)

Examiner: Shafer, Ricky D.

Group No.: 2872

Confirmation No.: 5424

Docket No.: LMX-129-CON

022946.00344

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that the following papers are being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent Office (fax number 1-571-273-8300) on the date shown below:

1. Request for Reconsideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Henry & Jaudon

Registration No. 34,056

MeNair Law Firm, P.A.

P.O. Box 10827

Greenville, SC 29603-0827

Telephone: (864) 232-4261

Agent for the Applicant

Date: 9-06-07

No. of Pages:

By: Jean S. Trans

SEP 0 6 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re: Heinrich Lang

Serial No.: 10/767,669

Filed: 01/29/2004

For: REARVIEW MIRROR ASSEMBLY FOR MOTOR VEHICLES (As Amended)

Examiner: Shafer, Ricky D.

Group No.: 2872

Confirmation No.: 5424

Docket No.: LMX-129-CON

022946.00344

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Request for Reconsideration

The amendment of 7/30/2007 was denied entry because the Examiner held that the amendment raised new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The Examiner held that the language "a plurality of connectors engaged with each of said positioning apparatus, said framing and said bracket" would require further consideration.

This position is respectfully traversed.

Claim 26, as presented in the response of 7/30/2007, called for "a plurality of connectors engaged with said positioning apparatus, said framing and said bracket securing said framing."

The Examiner objected to this phrase in the PTO action of 7/11/2007 as vague and indefinite, stating, "it is unclear whether a plurality of connectors are performing the securing."

The proposed amendment merely is an attempt to clarify the claim language to overcome the Examiner's objection and is not thought to constitute new matter or a new

Appl. No.: 10/767,669

Request for Consideration dated September 6, 2007

issue as stated on page 6 of the proposed amendment.

Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that, upon reconsideration, the Examiner allow entry of the proposed amendment for purposes of appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

Henry S. Jaudon

Registration No. 34,056 McNair Law Firm, P.A.

P.O. Box 10827

Greenville, SC 29603-0827 Telephone: (864) 232-4261

Agent for the Applicant